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Context

“We need to maintain our attention on schedule delivery. Data tells us that since July 2003, real cost increase in projects accounted for less than 3% of the total cost growth. Therefore, our problem is not cost, it is SCHEDULE.”

Dr Steve Gumley
CEO DMO
(Defence Materiel Organisation)

Prescription 1st year anniversary
DMO Bulletin, July 06, Issue 61, p3
EVM Schedule Indicators
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EVM Schedule Indicators

- SV & SPI behave erratically for projects behind schedule
  - SPI improves and concludes at 1.00 at end of project
  - SV improves and concludes at $0 variance at end of project
- Schedule indicators lose predictive ability over the last third of the project
EVM Schedule Indicators

- Why does this happen?
  - SV = EV – PV
  - SPI = EV / PV
- At planned completion PV = BAC
- At actual completion EV = BAC
- When actual completion > planned completion
  - SV = BAC – BAC = $000
  - SPI = BAC / BAC = 1.00

Regardless of lateness !!
Earned Schedule: The Concept
Seminal paper published in 2003

1. Project EV onto PV curve
2. Use the X (time) axis to measure schedule performance
3. Use the formula to calculate “Earned Schedule”

\[ \text{ES} = \frac{\text{EV(S)} - \text{PV(May)}}{\text{PV(June)} - \text{PV(May)}} \]

\[ \text{AT} = 7 \]
ES Computation Example

Earned Schedule requires the:
1) PMB; and
2) Accrued EV for calculation.
The equation is: ES = C + I

The first step is to compute C.
The value of C is found by counting the number of the PV time increments EV equals or exceeds.
In this example the count is from January through May.
C = 5 (months).
**ES Computation Example**

Thus far, \( ES = 5 + I \) (months).

In the small box at the lower right, is the equation for calculating \( I \).

For the example, let
1) \( EV = 100 \)
2) \( PV_5 \) (May) = 90
3) \( PV_6 \) (June) = 110.

Let’s calculate \( I \):
\[
I = \frac{100 - 90}{110 - 90} = 0.5
\]

\[
ES = 5 + 0.5 = 5.5 \text{ (months)}
\]

From \( ES \) (5.5 months) we can now calculate the ES indicators:
\( SV(t) \) and \( SPI(t) \).

The EV is reported at Actual Time
\( AT = 7 \), the end of June.

\[
SV(t) = 5.5 - 7 = -1.5 \text{ months}
\]

\[
SPI(t) = \frac{5.5}{7} = 0.79
\]

\[ EV \]

\[ $\]

\[ J \quad F \quad M \quad A \quad N \quad J \quad J \quad A \quad S \quad O \quad N \]
Earned Schedule Metrics

- Required measures
  - **Performance Management Baseline** (PMB) – the time phased planned values (BCWS) from project start to completion
  - **Earned Value** (EV) – the planned value which has been “earned”
  - **Actual Time** (AT) - the actual time duration from the project beginning to the time at which project status is assessed
- All measures available from existing EVM data
Earned Schedule Indicators

What happens to the ES indicators, SV(t) & SPI(t), when the Planned project Duration (PD) is exceeded (BCWS = BAC)?

They Still Work …Correctly!!

ES will be ≤ PD, while AT > PD
- SV(t) will be negative (time behind schedule)
- SPI(t) will be < 1.00

Reliable Values from Start to Finish !!
Earned Schedule Predictors

- Long time goal of EVM … *Prediction of total project duration from present schedule status*

- Independent Estimate at Completion (time)
  - IEAC(t) = PD / SPI(t)
  - IEAC(t) = AT + (PD – ES) / PF(t)
    where PF(t) is the Performance Factor (time)
  - Analogous to IEAC used to predict final cost

- Independent Estimated Completion Date (IECD)
  - IECD = Start Date + IEAC(t)
Earned Schedule Key Points

- **ES Indicators** constructed to behave in an analogous manner to the EVM Cost Indicators, CV and CPI

- **SV(t) and SPI(t)**
  - *Not* constrained by PV calculation reference
  - Provide *duration* based measures of schedule performance
  - Valid for entire project, including early and late finish

- **Facilitates integrated Cost/Schedule Management** (using EVM with ES)
Critical Path Study
Critical Path Study Outline

- The Scheduling Challenge
- Case Study Project
  - The project
  - The EVM, Earned Schedule and Network Schedule approach
- Earned Schedule vs Critical Path predictors
- Real Schedule Management with Earned Schedule
  - Initial experience and observations
- Conclusion and Final Thoughts
The Scheduling Challenge

- A realistic project schedule is dependent on multiple, often complex factors including accurate:
  - Estimation of the tasks required,
  - Estimates of the task durations
  - Resources required to complete the identified tasks
- Identification and modeling of dependencies impacting the execution of the project
  - Task dependencies (e.g. F-S process flows)
  - “Dependent” Milestones (internal and external)
  - “Other logic”
The Scheduling Challenge

- From small projects into large projects and programs, scheduling requirements becomes exponentially more complex

- **Integration**
  - Of schedules between “master” and “subordinate” schedules
  - Often across multiple tiers of
    - Activities and
    - Organisations
  contributing to the overall program of work

- **Essential** for producing a **useful** integrated master schedule
To further compound schedule complexity

- Once an initial schedule baseline has been established, progress monitoring inevitably results in changes:
  - Task and activity durations change because “actual performance” does not conform to plan
  - Additional unforeseen activities may need to be added
  - Logic changes as a result of corrective actions to contain slippages; and
  - Improved understanding of the work being undertaken
  - Other “planned changes” (Change Requests) also contribute to schedule modifications over time
Wouldn’t it be nice ….  

- To be able to explicitly declare “Schedule Reserve” in the project “schedule of record”
  - Protect committed key milestone delivery dates
- To have schedule macro level indicators and predictors
  - Ideally, derived separately from the network schedule!
  - Provides a means for comparison and validation of the measures and predictors provided by the network schedule
  - An independent predictor of project duration would be a particularly useful metric
    - “On time” completion of projects usually considered important
- Just like EVM practitioners have for cost ….  
  - The potential offered by Earned Schedule
Case Study Project

- Commercial sector software development and enhancement project
  - **Small scale**: 10 week Planned Duration
  - **Time critical**: Needed to support launch of revenue generating marketing campaign
  - **Cost budget**: 100% labour costs

- **Mixture of**:
  - 3 tier client server development
    - Mainframe, Middleware, Workstation
  - 2 tier client server development
    - Mainframe to Workstation direct
The EVM and ES Approach

- Microsoft Project 2002 schedule
  - Resource loaded for time phased effort and cost estimation
  - Control Account – Work Package views developed in the schedule
  - Actual Costs captured in SAP time recording system
    - Limited (actual) cost – schedule integration
  - Contingency (Management Reserve) managed outside the schedule
- Top level Planned Values cum “copied and pasted” into Excel EVM and ES template
  - High level of cost – schedule integration achieved
Schedule Management

- **Weekly schedule updates from week 3 focusing on:**
  - Accurate task level percentage work completion updates
  - The project level percentage work completion (cumulative) calculated by Microsoft Project
    - Percentage work complete transferred to the EVM and ES template to derive the progressive Earned Value (cumulative) measure

- **Schedule review focusing on critical path analysis**
  - Schedule updates occurred as needed with
  - Revised estimates of task duration and
  - Changes to network schedule logic
    particularly when needed to facilitate schedule based corrective action

- **Actual costs entered into the EVM and ES template as they became available (weekly)**
An Integrated Schedule Analysis Chart
Critical Path, IECD, SPI(t) and SPI($) on one page
Schedule Analysis

- **Initial expectation**
  - The critical path predicted completion date would be more pessimistic than the IECD

- **In fact**
  - The ES IECD trend line depicted a “late finish” project with improving schedule performance
  - The critical path predicted completion dates showed an “early finish project” with deteriorating schedule performance

- **Became the “critical question” in Week 8**
  - ES IECD improvement trend reversed
  - Continued deterioration in the critical path predicted completion dates
Schedule Analysis Result

- IECD the more credible predictor in **this circumstance**
  - Work was not being accomplished at the rate planned
  - No adverse contribution by critical path factors
    - e.g. Externally imposed delays caused by “dependent milestone”

- **Two weeks schedule delay communicated to management**
  - Very late delay of schedule slippage a very sensitive issue

- **Corrective action was immediately implemented**
  - Resulted in two weeks progress in one week based on IECD improvement in week 9
  - Project substantively delivered to the revised delivery date
The IECD vs Critical Path Predictors

- Network schedule updates do not usually factor past (critical path) task performance into the future
  - Generally concentrate on the current time window
    - Task updates
    - Corrective action to try and contain slippages
  - Critical path predicted completion date is not usually calibrated by past actual schedule performance

- The ES IECD
  - Cannot directly take into account critical path information
  - BUT does calibrate the prediction based on historic schedule performance as reflected in the SPI(t)
Further Observations

- Much has been written about the consequences of not achieving work at the EVM rate planned
  - At very least, incomplete work needs to be rescheduled …
  - Immediate critical vs non critical path implication requires detailed analysis of the network schedule
  - **Sustained** improvement in schedule performance is a difficult challenge
    - SPI(t) remained in the .7 to .8 band for the entire project!
    - In spite of the corrective action and recovery effort
  - Any task delayed **eventually** becomes critical path if not completed

- SPI(t) a very useful indicator of schedule performance
  - Especially later in the project when SPI($) was resolving to 1.0
Questions of Scale

- **We know that ES is scalable as is EVM**
  - Issues of scale did not arise due to small size of the project

- **Detailed analysis of the ES metrics is required**
  - The same as EVM for cost
  - The “masking” or “washout” effect of negative and positive ES variances at the detailed level can be an issue
  - The same as EVM for cost

- **Apply Earned Schedule to the Control Accounts and Work Packages on the critical path**
  - And “near” critical path activities

- **Earned Schedule augments network schedule analysis – it doesn’t replace it**
  - Just as EVM doesn't replace a bottom up ETC and EAC
Real Schedule Management with Earned Schedule

- ES is of considerable benefit in analysing and managing schedule performance
- The “time critical” dichotomy of reporting “optimistic” predicted task completions and setting and reporting realistic completion dates was avoided
  - ES metrics provided an independent means of sanity checking the critical path predicted completion date
  - Prior to communicating overall schedule status to management
- ES focused much more attention onto the network schedule than using EVM alone
Final Thoughts

- ES is expected to be of considerable value to the schedule management for large scale projects and programs
  - Exponential increase in the network scheduling complexities
  - Unavoidable and necessary on those programs and so
  - The need and benefit of an independent means of sanity checking schedules of such complexity is much greater

- ES is anticipated to become the “bridge” between EVM and the Network Schedule
Available Resources

- PMI Sydney Australia, Chapter website
  Click “Education,” then “Presentations and Papers” for .pdf copies
  - First online repository of Earned Schedule papers and presentations

- Earned Schedule Website
  - Large and growing online repository of Earned Schedule and follow-on concept papers, presentations and calculators
    - “P Factor” and Schedule Adherence
    - Effective Earned Value
    - Application of statistical methods to cost and schedule prediction
    - xPI Stability Calculator
  - All freely available for download and use
Calculators and Analysis Tools

- Freely provided
  - Application assistance if needed
- Please respect Copyright
- Feedback requested
  - Improvement / Enhancement suggestions
  - Your assessment of value to Project Managers
  - Disclosure of application and results (with organization permission)
# Contact Information

<table>
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Appendix: ES and Re-Baselining
ES and Re-Baselining

- **ES indicators are affected by re-baselining**
  - Behaviour of SV(t) and SPI(t) is analogous to CV and CPI
    - See examples

- **PMB change affects schedule prediction similarly to cost**

- **Earned Schedule brings attention to the potential schedule impact of a declared “cost only” change**
Earned Schedule – Re-Baseline Example

Real project data – nominal re-baseline

1. Nominal Re-plan 02 July
Cost and schedule overrun

2. Schedule delay

3. Re-baseline effect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Time (weeks)</th>
<th>01 Jan</th>
<th>29 Jan</th>
<th>26 Feb</th>
<th>26 Mar</th>
<th>30 Apr</th>
<th>28 May</th>
<th>25 Jun</th>
<th>02 Jul</th>
<th>30 Jul</th>
<th>27 Aug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>34.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Schedule ReBline #1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>33.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Schedule cum CBB</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earned Schedule cum</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>12.56</td>
<td>17.45</td>
<td>17.59</td>
<td>25.91</td>
<td>28.70</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>33.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEAC(t) SPI(t)</td>
<td>20.85</td>
<td>18.60</td>
<td>19.11</td>
<td>20.15</td>
<td>24.07</td>
<td>28.42</td>
<td>33.12</td>
<td>34.50</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>34.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Earned Schedule – Re-Baseline Example

CV, SV($) and SV(t)

1. Nominal Re-plan 02 July
   Cost and schedule overrun

2. Cost Overrun

3. Schedule delay

4. “Sawtooth” effect of re-baselining (CV, SV($) and SV(t)

5. 1 week completion delay on re-baselined PMB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Time (weeks)</th>
<th>01 Jan</th>
<th>29 Jan</th>
<th>26 Feb</th>
<th>26 Mar</th>
<th>30 Apr</th>
<th>28 May</th>
<th>25 Jun</th>
<th>02 Jul</th>
<th>30 Jul</th>
<th>27 Aug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CV cum</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(12.14)</td>
<td>(23.70)</td>
<td>(42.92)</td>
<td>(87.31)</td>
<td>(108.61)</td>
<td>(121.43)</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>10.99</td>
<td>(2.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV($) cum</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(0.41)</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>(1.42)</td>
<td>(22.07)</td>
<td>(46.48)</td>
<td>(8.60)</td>
<td>(5.22)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target CV and SV</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV(t) cum</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(0.16)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>(0.13)</td>
<td>(3.55)</td>
<td>(7.41)</td>
<td>(0.09)</td>
<td>(1.30)</td>
<td>(1.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>